

**NORWALK CONSERVATION COMMISSION MINUTES**

**DECEMBER 13, 2005**

**ATTENDANCE:** Ann Cagnina, Acting Chair; Marny Smith; Ed Holowinko; Elizabeth Ackerman, Susan Llorca; Andrew Kydes; D. Seeley Hubbard (6:10); Matt Caputo (6:12).

**STAFF:**

**OTHERS:** Don Strait, Grumman Engineering; Judy Slayback, Environmental Land Solutions of Norwalk; Attorney Liz Suchy, Tierney, Zullo, Flaherty & Murphy; Candace Shaeffer; Terry Gallagher; Douglas Bassett, Heritage Building Group, LLC; Attorney Mark Grenier, DePanfilis & Vallerie.

**CALL TO ORDER**

Ms. Cagnina called the meeting to order at 6:08 p.m. She stated that Ms. Cherichetti was absent due to illness.

Mr. Holowinko took the roll call.

**RECEIPT/DISCUSSION**

#SO5-239 – 8 Norden Place – Norden Place, LLC – Construction of a multi-unit (316 unit) residential complex with associated stormwater drainage, grading and landscaping in and adjacent to wetlands and watercourses.

Attorney Liz Suchy of Tierney, Zullo, Flaherty & Murphy gave the presentation. She was accompanied by Judy Slayback of Environmental Land Solutions; Candace Schaeffer, the project manager; and Terry Gallagher, who did the stormwater and civil engineering work. Ms. Suchy stated that this was one of seven applications to various boards and agencies in the City of Norwalk. She said the Conservation Commission would be reviewing the plenary application, and that there is also an application for a zoning text amendment to allow multifamily residences in a restricted industrial zone, and an application for a special permit to allow a site plan for that particular use.

The property is bordered to the north by I95; Norden Place on the west; Metro-North railroad to the south; and a small piece of the property is in Westport. Ms. Suchy said the title site is over 78 acres. Recently there was a revision to the map creating Lot #1, which is the lot where the development is being proposed. Lot #2 has the current development on it, of the Norden buildings, Gibbs College and others, and the associated parking surrounding the Norden building. Ms. Suchy said that the application before the Commission tonight deals with only Lot #1 which was recently created.

Ms. Suchy stated that a bit of the property in front is in a Research & Development zone and the majority is situated in a Restricted Industrial zone which has limited uses, and

right now multifamily residential is not one of them, which is why they have submitted an application for text amendment to the Zoning Commission. Ms. Suchy said she wanted to make everyone aware that this proposal deals solely with land in Norwalk; there will be no access, connection, roadway, emergency or otherwise, proposed from Westport's Hiawatha Lane. She said there has been some misunderstanding and misinformation about roadways coming in and going out through Westport, and she wanted to make it clear tonight that the proposed application only involves Norwalk property and access would be gained by going through Norden Place in Norwalk.

Ms. Suchy and members of her committee described the area to be constructed on the maps, diagrams and plans. Several areas of wetlands already existing in the proposed development area were described and indicated where these areas would be improved or modified to promote further wetland habitat development. The wetlands areas would be incorporated into the landscaping of the development with appropriate plantings and modifications. The wetlands on the site originate largely from the culverts off I95 with the water caught and discharged from the culverts running downhill to the pooling areas, and are artificially made, either previously dug or directed onto the site by these culverts. It was indicated that the wetlands are not in pristine condition but can be made better by the landscaping efforts and construction to be done. A path or boardwalk through the area is proposed as part of the development of the wetland areas.

Discussion followed. Ms. Candace Shaeffer (sp) indicated that all areas of the buildings have been staked. Mr. Clay Fowler stated the Commissioners can arrange a formal walk-through of the area and offered assistance to guide them. He said they would need the site plan during the walk-through. Ms. Cagnina said there will be a public meeting and the date will be set. After some discussion it was determined that the public meeting should be scheduled for January 10, 2006. Ms. Suchy said if the Commissioners have any further questions to please contact her.

#### DISCUSSION/DECISION 1

#SO5-236 – 7 Burlington Court – Heritage Builders, LLC – Demolition of existing and construction of new residence adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

At the meeting of November 22, 2005 it was suggested that the applicant return to the Commission with a specific landscape plan for the area. A revised site plan has been submitted and indicates the existing lawn and tree drip lines, including catch lines A & B where new plantings would be.

Mr. Douglas Bassett said he has spoken with Ms. Cherichetti who assisted him with the plantings plan, which he submitted to the Commission along with a letter indicating specific amounts of plantings and size of plantings. Ms. Ackerman asked for a verbal explanation, which Mr. Bassett gave. He pointed out that the drip line was much larger than originally plotted and said that the trees were swamp maples. He indicated that Ms. Cherichetti had advised planting plan A and B with specific amounts of ferns and bushes to be planted, all of which will be approved by Ms. Cherichetti in the spring. Ms.

Cherichetti also suggested the number of plantings to be done. Mr. Barrett said they were planting further into the lawn than had originally been discussed.

**\*\* MR. HUBBARD MOVED TO ACCEPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION, WITH CONDITIONS.**

**RESOLVED,**

**THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #SO5-236, TO DEMOLISH THE EXISTING AND CONSTRUCT A NEW RESIDENCE ADJACENT TO A WETLAND AND WATERCOURSE BE GRANTED TO HERITAGE BUILDING GROUP LLC FOR PROPERTY AT 7 BURLINGTON COURT, NORWALK, CT. [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 14, TAX LOT 79] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:**

**1. A \$2,500.00 PERFORMANCE BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING (\$1,700.00) SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST TWO (2) FULL GROWING SEASONS, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND.**

**2. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.**

**3. SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED ON THE LOCATION NOTED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED "ZONING/LOCATION SURVEY: MAP OF PROPERTY PREPARED FOR HERITAGE 7 BURLINGTON COURT LLC", BY WALTER SKIDD, L.S., DATED SEPTEMBER 25, 2005, LAST REVISED OCTOBER 19, 2005. THESE SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROLS MUST BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON THE SITE.**

**4. THE WETLAND MITIGATION PLANTING PLAN, AS DETAILED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED "ZONING/LOCATION SURVEY: MAP OF PROPERTY PREPARED FOR HERITAGE 7 BURLINGTON COURT LLC", BY WALTER SKIDD, L.S., DATED SEPTEMBER 25, 2005, LAST REVISED OCTOBER 19, 2005, AND AS MODIFIED BY DOUGLAS BASSETT, HERITAGE BUILDING GROUP LLC, ON DECEMBER 1, 2005 AND THE LETTER TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FROM DOUGLAS BASSETT DATED DECEMBER 1, 2005, SHALL BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS OF THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, EITHER PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY.**

5. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN 5 YEARS, ALL CONSTRUCTION PORTIONS OF THE PROPOSED PLAN MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN ONE AND A HALF (1 ½) YEARS OF COMMENCEMENT OF SITE WORK.

6. ANY CHANGE TO THE OVERALL PLAN, AS DEPICTED IN THE SUBMITTED PLANS AND NARRATIVES, INCLUDING THE PLAN ENTITLED “ZONING/LOCATION SURVEY: MAP OF PROPERTY PREPARED FOR HERITAGE 7 BURLINGTON COURT LLC”, BY WALTER SKIDD, L.S., DATED SEPTEMBER 25, 2005, LAST REVISED OCTOBER 19, 2005, AND AS MODIFIED BY DOUGLAS BASSETT, HERITAGE BUILDING GROUP LLC, ON DECEMBER 1, 2005, WILL REQUIRE A RETURN TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR REVIEW.

\*\* MS. CAGNINA SECONDED.

\*\* MOTION PASSED WITH TWO ABSTENTIONS (MS. LLORCA, MR. KYDES)

#### PERMIT MODIFICATION

#SO5-218A – 281 Rowayton Avenue - Bosich – Modification of permit to include construction of a rear deck adjacent to the previously approved rear addition adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

\*\* MR. HUBBARD MOVED TO APPROVE MODIFICATION OF THE PERMIT:

#### RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #SO5-218A, TO CONSTRUCT A REAR ADDITION AND A REAR DECK ADJACENT TO A WETLAND AND WATERCOURSE, BE GRANTED TO STANLEY BOSICH FOR PROPERTY AT 281 ROWAYTON AVENUE, NORWALK, CT, [DISTRICT 6, BLOCK 26, TAX LOT 4] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT REPLATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.
2. OTHER THAN THE LIMITED AMOUNT OF BACKFILL CUSTOMARILY USED IMMEDIATELY ADAJCENT TO THE FOUNDATION, ALL EXCAVATED MATERIAL SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE.
3. NO CONSTRUCTION-RELATED ACTIVITY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, STOCKPILING, CONSTRUCTION ACCESS, GRADING OR VEGETATION REMOVAL MAY OCCUR NORTHWESTWARD OF THE LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE LINE. THIS LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE LINE SHALL BE NO MORE THAN THREE (3) FEET NORTHWEST OF THE PROPOSED NEW DECK

AND SHALL BE PHYSICALLY DEMARCATED AT THE SITE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF SITE ACTIVITY.

4. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN 5 YEARS, ALL PORTIONS OF THE PROPOSED PLAN MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR OF COMMENCEMENT.

5. ANY CHANGE TO THE OVERALL PLAN, AS DEPICTED IN A PLAN ENTITLED "SITE MAP, 281 ROWAYTON AVENUE," DATED SEPTEMBER 7, 2005 WILL REQUIRE A RETURN TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR REVIEW.

\*\* MS. SMITH SECONDED.

\*\* MOTION PASSED WITH ONE ABSTENTION (MR. KYDES)

#### REFERRALS

Zoning Commission - #10-05R/#20-05SP – 8 Norden Place – Norden Place, LLC – Proposed Regulation Amendment to Section 118-711 (Restricted Industrial Zone) of the Zoning Regulations to allow multi-family housing by special permit.

This item was tabled until the January 10, 2006 meeting.

#### RELEASE OF BOND

a) #SO1-77 – 122 Dry Hill Road – Smith – Release of bond for construction of a new single-family residence and associated activities in and adjacent to a wetland.

This item was tabled until the January 10, 2006 meeting.

#### MINUTES

a) October 25, 2005 minutes

b) November 22, 2005 minutes

Approval of the meeting minutes of October 25, 2005 and November 22, 2005 has been tabled until January 10, 2006, as the Commissioners have not yet received their copies in order to review them.

#### COMMENTS OF STAFF

a) Declaratory Permits and other Enforcement Actions

b) Report of Senior Environmental Officer

These items were tabled until the January 10, 2006 meeting, as Ms. Cherichetti was not in attendance due to illness.

Mr. Kydes departed from the meeting at 6:52 p.m.

The Commissioners agreed to recess for eight minutes prior to the public hearing portion of the meeting.

## PUBLIC HEARING

a) #SO5-234 – 41 Deerwood Manor – Lisowski – Demolition of existing residence and construction of a new residence in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Mr. Holowinko called the roll. All Commissioners were present except for Mr. Kydes, who had departed earlier from the meeting as noted above.

Ms. Cagnina stated that both Mr. and Mrs. Lisowski were in attendance and inquired if Mr. Lisowski had notified the abutting residents of the public hearing by certified mail. Mr. Lisowski explained that he had sent a letter by overnight mail to the residents and had also gone to each one and had spoken to them and asked them to sign a paper.

Mr. Hubbard told Mr. Lisowski that the requirements stated that the abutters need to receive notification by certified mail. He stated that it was necessary to fulfill legal guidelines to notify the abutters in this way so that later on no one could come back to the Commission or Mr. Lisowski and say that they had not been notified. He explained that Mr. Lisowski needed to send his notification by certified mail and bring the green return receipts back to the Commission as proof the abutters had been informed of the situation. He stated that Mr. Lisowski had not done anything wrong by notifying the abutters in person, but that now he needed to take another step and notify them again by certified mail.

Ms. Cagnina explained that the Commissioners can keep the public hearing open for Mr. Lisowski's application until the next meeting scheduled for January 10, 2006 and that he will have to come back at that time to resume the hearing.

Mr. Otto Theall, representing the applicant, asked the Commission if they would like him to make a presentation tonight since he was there and ready to present. The Commissioners said they would prefer that he come back in January and make his presentation at that time. Mr. Theall agreed to return in January.

Ms. Cagnina asked if the Commissioners needed to vote to hold the public hearing open until January 10. Mr. Seely Hubbard said they did not. The Commissioners agreed that this public hearing would then remain open until the January 10, 2006 meeting.

b) #SO5-235 – 12 Allen Road – Canevari – Construction of a new single-family residence in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Mr. Holowinko called the roll. All Commissioners were present except for Mr. Kydes, who had departed earlier from the meeting as noted above.

Ms. Cagnina explained that they had to open the public hearing on this item but the applicant requested that it be moved to the next meeting of the Conservation Commission, as he was unable to attend tonight's public hearing. The item was moved to the January 10, 2006 meeting by general agreement of the Commissioners, as there had previously been no quorum to vote on it.

c) #SO5-238 – 203 Silver Creek Lane – Slapin – Construction of a new single-family residence adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Mr. Holowinko called the roll. All Commissioners were present except for Mr. Kydes who had departed earlier from the meeting as noted above.

Attorney Mark Grenier, DePanfilis & Vallerie, stated that he had the certified mail receipts and handed them to Ms. Cagnina.

Mr. Don Strait, landscape engineer, Grumman Engineering, gave a presentation for the benefit of the public in attendance at the hearing. He explained that this is the last condominium building proposed for the Falls at Silvermine association. Mr. Strait stated that after the last meeting the applicants had revised their plans again and had decided to keep the proposed limit of disturbance uphill of the stone wall, or about ten to fifteen feet from the wetland limit. The proposed foundation is now thirteen feet from the wetland line and forty-one feet from the Silvermine River 100-year flood zone. They have included an alternative plan that increases these distances by one foot.

Mr. Strait said that there will be almost no excavation of the area at the stone wall and that the lowest level will be almost at the level of the back of the house. They have revised the building footprint to 1,206 sq. ft.; previously the footprint was 1,340 sq.ft. The plans have added additional erosion control and a mud tracking bed as well as a maximized buffer area with plantings and a planting strip measuring five to ten feet wide. The original plans had called for some pine trees to be cut; the revised plan will keep the trees and construction fencing will be put around the trees for protection. A stockpile area will be between the residence and garage for excavated materials.

Ms. Cagnina asked if they intend to have plantings up to the stone wall or will it be lawn area. Mr. Strait said they would have plantings in order to limit the amount of lawn in that area as it will make it easier to maintain. He suggested that stepping stones could be added to make a walking path in this section but that the plans had been revised in order to keep the wall's integrity intact.

Ms. Cagnina asked if there would be foundation shrubs along the wall. Ms. Smith said that some of the plantings Mr. Strait was suggesting would grow tall and that he might

want to rethink some of what he wants to plant there. Mr. Strait agreed that Ms. Smith was correct, and suggested several different types of shrubs that could be substituted for his original choices. Ms. Cagnina said that there are definite advantages with the extra one-foot alternative plan, which will benefit the wetlands. She said she doesn't have any problems with the revised plan and asked what the other Commissioners thought. There was a general discussion regarding the merits of the five-foot plan over the four-foot plan and the Commissioners were in agreement that the revised plan seemed much better.

Attorney Mark Grenier, DePanfilis & Vallerie, read a presentation, which documented the history of the proposed construction for the benefit of the public in attendance. He stated that there are two lots. Lot #2 is the area in which the complex is already constructed and Lot #1 is the area of proposed construction being discussed at the public hearing tonight. He said that no construction could happen on Lot #1 without permission of the Conservation Commission. Mr. Grenier stated that the original plans were filed in the Norwalk Land Records on June 11, 1986 and shows the development rights to the declarant. He explained that when Unit 203 was constructed, at the time the garage for that unit was built, the garage for proposed Unit 204 was also built, and had been used as the sales office for the complex. The current owner acquired the property in 1995 and has paid taxes on it since then. In 1981 the declarant filed an amendment, in Vol. 2553, page 256, in Norwalk Land Records. Mr. Grenier pointed out that this amendment did not terminate the owners' rights to build a single-family home on the site.

In 1991 the declarant said their rights were terminated but that had no affect on Unit 203. He stated that the P&Z would not issue a permit for the proposed construction until the Conservation Commission issues their permit first. Mr. Grenier explained that if construction is not completed on the proposed single-family residence by December 31, 2005, the property will revert back to the condominium association and the owner will lose the property and receive no compensation for the taxes she has paid on it since 1995. Mr. Grenier asked the Commission to approve the proposal, which is still subject to association approval. He also mentioned that the front of the building has never changed, only the plans for the rear of the building has changed.

Ms. Cagnina inquired if there were any members of the public who had signed up to speak in favor of this application. There were no members of the public who wished to speak

**\*\* MS. SMITH MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.**

**\*\* MR. HUBBARD SECONDED.**

**\*\* MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The public hearing portion of the meeting was called closed at 7:40 p.m.

Ms. Cagnina asked if the Commissioners wished to discuss the application or vote on it. Ms. Ackerman said she didn't want to discuss it but wanted to make a brief comment. She said she thought the primary mission of the Commission was to protect the wetlands



and this application didn't seem to be taking that into consideration. She was concerned about the 50-foot setback and said they should have made more of an issue about it. She felt she didn't want to support the application because of this.

Mr. Hubbard said he was inclined to support the application, as he hasn't seen anything detrimental to the wetlands in the plans. Mr. Holowinko said he was in favor of the five-foot alternative plan proposed by Mr. Strait. Mr. Caputo said the building lot has been planned on since the 1980s and he liked the five-foot alternative better than the original four-foot plan. Ms. Smith said she agreed with that opinion.

Ms. Cagnina said they should have made more of an issue of the fifty-foot setback at the beginning of the situation. She said the Commission has made exceptions in the past and she doesn't have a problem making one at this time.

**\*\* MS. CAGNINA MOVED TO ACCEPT THE APPLICATION AS FOLLOWS:**

**RESOLVED,**

**THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #SO5-238, TO CONSTRUCT A NEW RESIDENCE ADJACENT TO A WETLAND AND WATERCOURSE BE GRANTED TO NANCY SLAPIN FOR PROPERTY AT 203 SILVER CREEK LANE, NORWALK, CT. [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 43, TAX LOT 43] WITH THE FOLLOWING MODIFICATIONS AND CONDITIONS:**

- 1. A \$3,600.00 PERFORMANCE BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTINGS (\$2,100.00) SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING TWO FULL GROWING SEASONS AFTER THE PLANTING HAS BEEN FULLY IMPLEMENTED. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND.**
- 2. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.**
- 3. HAY BALES, SILT FENCE AND SNOW FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATIONS NOTED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED "PROPOSED RESIDENCE – ALTERNATE SITE PLAN" BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING, LLC, DATED NOVEMBER 7, 2005. THESE SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROLS MUST BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON SITE.**

4. ANY STOCKPILE OR DISTURBED AREA THAT WILL BE LEFT DISTURBED FOR MORE THAN ONE (1) MONTH SHALL BE STABILIZED WITH AN APPROPRIATE TEMPORARY SOIL PROTECTION METHOD WITHIN THE FIRST 7 DAYS OF SUSPENDED WORK.

5. THE WETLAND MITIGATION PLANTING PLAN, AS DETAILED IN THE PLAN ENTITLED "PROPOSED RESIDENCE – ALTERNATE SITE PLAN" BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING, LLC, DATED NOVEMBER 7, 2005, SHALL BE MODIFIED TO INDICATE THAT ALL SH RUBS PLANTED AS PART OF THE WETLAND MITIGATION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 30 INCHES IN HEIGHT AT THE TIME OF PLANTING.

6. THE WETLAND MITIGATION PLANTING PLAN SHALL BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS OF THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, EITHER PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY.

7. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN 5 YEARS, ALL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN ONE AND A HALF (1 ½) YEARS OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY SITE WORK.

\*\* MR. CAPUTO SECONDED.

\*\* MOTION PASSED WITH ONE OPPOSED (MS. ACKERMAN) AND TWO ABSTENTIONS (MR. HOLOWINKO, MS. SMITH)

#### COMMENTS OF COMMISSIONERS

There were no comments at this time.

#### ADJOURNMENT

\*\* MS. ACKERMAN MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.

\*\* MR. HOLOWINKO SECONDED.

\*\* MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:48 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Linda J. Hayes  
Telesco Secretarial Services

