

NORWALK CONSERVATION COMMISSION MINUTES

**CITY OF NORWALK
CONSERVATION COMMISSION
July 8, 2008**

PRESENT: D. Seeley Hubbard, Chair Anne Cagnina; Andy Kydes; Ed Holowinko; Emily Wilson; Matt Caputo; Chris Handrinos;

STAFF: Alexis Cherichetti; Yari Bletsas

OTHERS: DiPasquale; Leslie Lawrence

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Hubbard called the meeting to order at 6:05pm

ROLL CALL

Ms. Cherichetti called the roll.

RECEIPT & DISCUSSION

a) #S08-325 – 29 Bonnybrook Road - DiPasquale – Deposition of boulder revetment in and adjacent to Five Mile River

Mr. DiPasquale, the home owner/applicant, began the discussion by describing the proposed activity. He stated that large storm events from previous years have deposited a great amount of sediment with in the Five Mile River that abuts his property. He stated that another result of the storm is the erosion of a retaining wall that was constructed, predating regulations, in 1958. He proposed to place stones against the eroded concrete wall to armor the bank.

Mr. Hubbard inquired whether the stone would be deposited in the river and whether any work was being proposed on the neighbors property since a portion of the falling retaining wall is located on his property. Mr. DiPasquale responded that the stone would be placed against the retaining wall and on the bank and in the river and that work would not be done on the neighbor's property.

Ms. Cagnina asked what the extent of the stone deposit would include. Mr. DiPasquale stated that the stone would be placed along a 20 to 30 foot length along his property.

Ms. Hubbard asked whether or not there has been a change in the course of the river since the storm events. Mr. DiPasquale said that there was no change in the river's course.

Ms. Cherichetti added that staff would provide photo documentation of the site for the upcoming meeting.

Mr. DiPasquale added that he had submitted a letter to the Commission to waive the application fee because he believed that the work he was doing in the river would benefit the all the neighbors.

Mr. Hubbard and Ms. Cagnina referred to the City of Norwalk Inland Wetland and Watercourse Regulations to determine what conditions should be present for an application fee to be waived. Ms. Cherichetti then read over the regulations in Section 60A-18, Application Fees; Subsection H, Waivers.

Mr. Caputo asked whether a determination on an application fee waiver needed to be made at the tonight's meeting. Ms. Cherichetti responded that a decision did not need to be made at tonight's meeting but needed to be resolved before a decision on the application was made.

b) #S08-326 – 115 Maywood Road – Barringer – Corrective Action deposition of woodchips in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

Ms. Cherichetti described that this application is a condition of the Notice of Violation Order issued to the Mr. Barringer. He was sited for deposition of woodchips and removal of vegetation in and adjacent to wetlands. She stated that he was also ordered to get the wetlands on the property flagged by a soil scientist to determine their extent. She described that the application as submitted was incomplete; the applicant had not submitted the soils map complete by the soil scientist that was conditioned as part of the Notice of Violation.

The application was then tabled until the next meeting.

PERMIT MODIFICATION or EXTENSION

a) #S07-269A – 20 Betmarlea Road – McGettigan – Modification of permit to not be required, as initially proposed, to remove existing shed away from wetland and install buffer plantings

The home owner was out of the country and requested the application be tabled till their return.

b) #S07-319A – 18 Range Road – Lawrence – Modification of permit to include demolition of existing residence and construction of new residence adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

Leslie Lawrence, the applicant, described the proposed modifications to her previously approved permit. She stated that they have decided to demolish the existing house instead of remodeling it. Ms. Lawrence also pointed out the benefits of the modifications stating

that it would place the house a greater distance from the pond located in the rear of the property. She added that the driveway would also be moved further from the pond and allows for a rain garden to be constructed collecting storm water run-off from the drive way. She also stated that the previous location of the stock pile would be moved to the front of the house thus further away from the pond as well. Lastly she added that although the house would be moved a greater distance from the pond, its exact site location has not yet been determined.

Mr. Hubbard asked when the site of the home would be determined and surveyed.

Ms. Lawrence responded that she should have the survey of the location with in the next couple of weeks and try to submit it before the next meeting.

c) #S08-312 – Rowayton Woods Drive – Organic Designs, LLC –Modification of permit to allow ‘vacuum dredging’ of pipe outlets without posting of bond or starting permit timeline.

Ms. Cherichetti began the discussion by introducing the proposed modification. She stated that the original permit was approved on June 10, 2008 for pond rehabilitation and drainage improvements, with associated removal of vegetation, grading, discharge and landscaping within and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse. She read the portions of the original permit S08-312 that condition that no work may begin on the project until a bond is posted and once commencement of work begins the proposed activity must be completed with in one year of such commencement. She added that due to the extensive nature of the project the applicant was required to obtain an Army Corps of Engineers permit and a CT DEP permit and therefore would not be able to begin work until they were attained. Ms. Cherichetti stated that the applicant would like to begin the vacuum dredging of pipe outlets portion of the permit which was granted by the Commission with out posting the bond or initiating the permit timeline to begin.

Mr. Hubbard asked whether the proposed dredging should be allowed with out the bond being posted. Ms Cherichetti responded that the proposed vacuum dredging was fairly minimal and was not added into the bond estimation for the project.

***** MR. HUBBARD MOVED TO ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:**

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED ALL THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND THE FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION OF DECISION, AS DETAILED IN SECTION 60A-8 OF NORWALK’S INLAND WETLAND AND WATERCOURSES REGULATIONS; AND WHEREAS, THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ARE NOT LIKELY TO RESULT IN ANY PERMANENT NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO THE WATERCOURSE OR WETLANDS; AND WHEREAS, THE REDIRECTION OF THE DRAINAGE PIPE FROM THE VICINITY OF POSSUM CIRCLE SHOULD RESULT IN STORMWATER QUALITY AND

QUANTITY IMPROVEMENTS;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S08-312A, FOR POND REHABILITATION AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS, WITH ASSOCIATED REMOVAL OF VEGETATION, GRADING, DISCHARGE AND LANDSCAPING WITHIN AND ADJACENT TO A WETLAND WATERCOURSE, BE GRANTED TO ROWAYTON WOODS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR PROPERTY AT ROWAYTON WOODS DRIVE, NORWALK, CT, [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 80, TAX LOT 200] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS, AS AMENDED:

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS OF THE POND.
2. A \$15,500.00 BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, WITH EXEMPTION OF VACUUM DREDGING. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING (\$14,000.00) SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST TWO (2) FULL GROWING SEASONS, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND
3. ALL PROPOSED GRADING, EXCAVATION AND FILLING ACTIVITIES WITHIN A WETLAND OR WATERCOURSE SHALL BE LIMITED TO OCCUR DURING THE GENERALLY LOW FLOW CONDITIONS BETWEEN JULY 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30 OF ANY GIVEN CALENDAR YEAR.
4. PRIOR TO 'VACUUM' REMOVAL OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS AT THE CATCH BASIN OUTFALLS IN THE POND, THE APPLICANT MUST OBTAIN CONSERVATION STAFF APPROVAL REGARDING THE PHYSICAL LIMITS OF THE AREA TO BE 'VACUUMED'.
5. STAKED HAY BALES AND SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATION NOTED ON PLANS ENTITLED, "GRADING PLAN; HIGHLAND AVENUE", DATED MARCH 26, 2008 BY MCCHORD ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, INC. THE SILT FENCING AND HAY BALES ARE TO BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON SITE. ANY REQUIRED SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MUST REMAIN PROPERLY INSTALLED UNTIL THE SITE IS DEEMED STABILIZED BY CONSERVATION STAFF.

6. THE PLANTING PLAN, AS DETAILED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED, "PLANTING PLAN, PL-01; ROWAYTON WOODS", DATED MARCH 26, 2009 BY ORGANIC DESIGNS, LLC, SHALL BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED WITHIN TWO (2) MONTHS OF COMPLETION OF THE GRADING ACTIVITIES.

7. WITHIN THREE (3) MONTHS OF THE COMPLETION OF GRADING, THE APPLICANT SHALL CAUSE TO HAVE THE FINAL ELEVATION OF THE PROPOSED STONE SPILLWAYS AND SEDIMENT BASIN BOTTOM CONFIRMED. THE SUBMITTED ELEVATION INFORMATION SHALL TO A PRECISION OF A-2 ACCURACY AND SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CONSERVATION OFFICE FOR COMPLIANCE REVIEW.

8. ONCE WORK ON THE PROJECT COMMENCES, ALL ACTIVITY, WITH EXEMPTION OF VACUUM DREDGING, UNDER THIS PERMIT IS TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR.

*** MR. KYDES SECONDED.

*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

DISCUSSION &/or DECISION

a) #S08-320 – 7 Rising Road – Krupa – Corrective Action deposition of material, installation of on-site septic system, and construction in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

Ms. Cherichetti stated that the applicant was asked to provide a new survey of the property that contained the flagged wetlands. She added that since the request of the Commission the applicant has not provided such survey. She said that the Conservation office has received a written request from Mr. Krupa to extend the time in which the Commission must render a decision two weeks from this meeting. She stated that with in this time she would ask the applicant to submit the survey to the Commission.

b) #S07-309 – 469 Newtown Avenue – Pugliese, Pugliese & Jackson – Construction of a new single family residence, with associated on-site septic system, adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

MR. KYDES AND MR. CAPUTO RECUSED THEMSELVES.

Ms. Cherichetti began the meeting by stating that at the public hearing had closed and discussion has been tabled at the last meeting due to lack of quorum.

Mr. Hubbard stated his support for the application. He added that the previously approved building lot did not contain many alternatives for the site. He also added that under the unique conditions of the lot that there are no better alternatives.

Ms. Wilson agreed with Mr. Hubbard's reasoning.

Mr. Holowinko added that the applicants were restoring a portion of the wetland that has been diminished and would benefit the over all wetland conditions.

***** MR. HANDRINOS MOVED TO APPROVE THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:**

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED ALL THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND THE FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION OF DECISION, AS DETAILED IN SECTION 60A-8 OF NORWALK'S INLAND WETLAND AND WATERCOURSES REGULATIONS; AND WHEREAS, THE PARCEL WAS ESTABLISHED AS A BUILDING LOT IN A 1960 SUBDIVISION; AND

WHEREAS, THE PROPOSED HOUSE FOOTPRINT AND LAYOUT IS REASONABLY SMALL; AND

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FINDS THAT NO PRUDENT AND FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVE EXISTS THAT WOULD RESULT IN LESS ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE WETLAND;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S08-309, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE AND ASSOCIATED ON-SITE SEPTIC SYSTEM, ADJACENT TO A WATERCOURSE AND WETLANDS, BE GRANTED TO MATTHEW A PUGLIESE, JOSEPH PUGLIESE, AND JOANNE JACKSON, FOR WORK AT 469 NEWTOWN AVENUE, NORWALK, CT, [5-31-218] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.
2. A \$13,800.00 BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST TWO (2) FULL GROWING SEASONS, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND.
3. SILT FENCE OR HAY BALES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATIONS INDICATED ON THE SUBMITTED SITE PLAN ENTITLED, "PROPOSED RESIDENCE, ALTERNATE PLAN – HOUSE AREA; SHEET 3 OF 4", DATED APRIL 1, 2008, BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING LLC. THE SEDIMENTATION & EROSION CONTROL IS TO BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY

WORK ON SITE. THE CONTROLS MUST REMAIN PROPERLY INSTALLED UNTIL THE SITE IS DEEMED STABILIZED BY CONSERVATION STAFF.

4. NO CONSTRUCTION-RELATED ACTIVITY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, STOCKPILING, CONSTRUCTION ACCESS, GRADING OR VEGETATION REMOVAL MAY OCCUR BEYOND THE WESTERN SILT FENCE, WHICH SHALL BE CONSIDERED THE LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY EXEMPTED BY CONDITIONS OF THIS PERMIT.

5. THE AREA WEST OF THE PROPOSED DRIVEWAY AND RESIDENCE, LABELED "UPPER WETLAND BUFFER" AND "LOWER WETLAND BUFFER", SHALL REMAIN IN ITS NATURAL STATE AND PROVIDE A BUFFER AREA FOR THE ADJACENT WETLAND. ADDITIONALLY, THIS DESIGNATED WETLAND BUFFER SHALL BE ENHANCED WITH THE PLANTING OF A MIXTURE OF AT LEAST FORTY-TWO (42) SHRUBS AND OTHER GROUNDCOVER VEGETATION, AS INDICATED ON THE SUBMITTED PLAN ENTITLED, "PROPOSED RESIDENCE, ALTERNATE PLAN – HOUSE AREA; SHEET 3 OF 4", DATED APRIL 1, 2008, BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING LLC. ALL PLANTS MUST BE NATIVE TO CONNECTICUT AND HAVE A MINIMUM HEIGHT OF 30" AT THE TIME OF PLANTING. THE AREA WITHIN THE WETLAND/WATERCOURSE BUFFER SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT ALLOWS THIS AREA TO ESTABLISH A NATURAL VEGETATED STATE; THESE AREAS SHALL NOT BE MAINTAINED AS LAWN OR MANICURED GARDENS.

6. THE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE DETAILED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED, "PROPOSED RESIDENCE, ALTERNATE PLAN – HOUSE AREA; SHEET 3 OF 4", DATED APRIL 1, 2008, BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING LLC, SHALL BE FOLLOWED IN THE ORDER PROPOSED. ITEM #4 (INSTALL WETLAND BUFFER PLANTINGS.) SHALL ALSO INCLUDE COMPLETION OF THE WETLAND RESTORATION ACTIVITIES FURTHER DESCRIBED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED, "PROPOSED RESIDENCE SITE PLAN", DATED 2-8-08 AND LAST REVISED 4-16-08, BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING, LLC.

7. THE APPLICANT SHALL CAUSE TO BE PREPARED AN 'AS-BUILT' FOUNDATION SURVEY OF THE PROPERTY. THE 'AS-BUILT' SURVEY SHALL ALSO INCLUDE ALL WETLANDS AND WATERCOURSES, THE 100-YEAR FLOOD ZONE LINE, , THE AREA PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBE IN SPECIAL CONDITION #5 ABOVE NOTED AS THE 'DESIGNATED WETLAND BUFFER AREA', RESTORED WETLAND AREA, THE LIMIT OF DESIGNATED WETLAND BUFFER AREA NOTED AS THE 'LIMIT OF LAWN', THE DRAINAGE SWALE NOTED AS "STORMWATER SWALE - REQUIRED FOR STORMWATER TREATMENT AND CONVEYANCE" AND A NOTE REFERRING THE READER TO THE CONSERVATION OFFICE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH CONSERVATION PERMIT #S08-308. THE 'AS-BUILT' PLAN SHALL BE FILED ON THE NORWALK LAND RECORDS BY THE APPLICANT, WITH TWO

COPIES OF THE FILED MAP SUBMITTED TO THE CONSERVATION OFFICE.

WHEN THE PROPERTY IS TRANSFERRED FROM THE DEVELOPER TO NEW OWNER, EITHER A REDUCED COPY OF THIS MAP SHALL BE ATTACHED TO THE WARRANTY DEED OR THE WARRANTY DEED SHALL REFER TO THE MAP NUMBER ASSIGNED BY THE TOWN CLERK TO THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED FILED SURVEY MAP.

IN THE EVENT THAT THE PROPERTY IS SOLD PRIOR TO THE 'AS-BUILT' SURVEY BEING AVAILABLE, A REDUCED COPY OF THE APPROVED SITE PLANS ENTITLED, "PROPOSED RESIDENCE, ALTERNATE PLAN – HOUSE AREA; SHEET 3 OF 4", DATED APRIL 1, 2008 AND "PROPOSED RESIDENCE SITE PLAN", DATED 2-8-08 AND LAST REVISED 4-16-08, BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING, SHALL BE ATTACHED, AS REDUCED COPY, TO THE WARRANTY DEED.

NO PORTION OF THE PERFORMANCE BOND SHALL BE RELEASED UNTIL THIS CONDITION IS SATISFIED.

8. ANY CHANGE TO THE OVERALL PLAN, AS DEPICTED IN PLANS ENTITLED "PROPOSED RESIDENCE, REVISED SITE PLAN", DATED 09-28-04, LAST REVISED 03-02-05, AND "PROPOSED LOW PRESSURE FORCE MAIN PLAN", DATED 09-28-04 AND LAST REVISED 12-29-04 BY GRUMMAN ENGINEERING LLC, WILL REQUIRE A RETURN TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR REVIEW.

9. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN FIVE (5) YEARS, ALL REGULATED ACTIVITY MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN TWELVE (12) MONTHS OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF SITE ACTIVITY.

*** MS. WILSON SECONDED.

*** MOTION PASSED WITH ONE ABSTENTION (MS. CAGNINA)

MR. KYDES AND MR. CAPUTO RETURNED.

c) #S08-324 – 379 Chestnut Hill Road – Canevari Vey – Corrective Action clear cutting adjacent to a wetland.

MR. KYDES AND MR. HUBBARD RECUSED THEMSELVES.

Ms. Cherichetti stated that she has not been able to discuss the concerns of the application with Corporation Council and that discussion should be tabled until Council has been contacted for assistance.

BOND RELEASE/REDUCTION

a) #S04-196 - 140 Rowayton Avenue – Release of bond associated with construction of a new multifamily residence, garage and expansion of a parking lot with associated storm water drainage and landscaping adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Ms. Cherichetti stated that applicant was seeking a release of bond for a 2004 project. She added that as part of their permit the applicants were required to maintain buffer plantings and that all catch basin be fitted with inserts to aid in the treatment of storm water run-off. After a recent inspection of the site it was noted that the buffer plantings were in compliance with the site plans and thriving. She also added that the catch basin inserts were not installed as proposed. Ms. Cherichetti noted that she has informed the applicants that the inserts must be installed as part of the permit conditions.

*** MR. CAPUTO MOVED TO DENY THE BOND RELEASE.

*** MS. WILSON SECONDED.

*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

b) #S07-298 – 166 Fillow Street – Ceja – Request for reduction of bond amount required to be posted associated with Corrective Action restoration of a watercourse/pond

Ms. Cherichetti began discussion by summarizing the applicant's request to reduce the bond required from \$6,500.00 to be reduced to \$1,625.00. She added that the applicant has begun portions of the permit but has yet to submit the bond. She also stated that a standard bond formula was used to calculate the bond, as for all applications and that in general bonds tend to be less than the cost of work for regulated activities.

Ms. Wilson stated that the applicant has not declared a hardship as to why the bond reduction is being requested.

Mr. Hubbard suggested that the applicant speak to Ms. Cherichetti to determine the reasons for a bond reduction and to go over possible resolutions for the issue.

REFERRALS

a) #13-08 CAM – 5 Plant Court – Construction of shoreline flood and erosion control structure

b) #04-08CAM – 25 McKinley Street - Construction of shoreline flood and erosion control structure

Mr. Hubbard recused himself from the discussion on 25 McKinley Street.

Ms. Cherichetti described the memorandum that was drafted following the June 24, 2008 meeting. She noted that from the discussion during that previous meeting, the Commission commented that both areas should be left in a natural state and the proposed

activities would harden the shoreline. Ms. Cherichetti referenced the memorandum that she had distributed last week with draft comments to the Zoning Commission.

Ms. Cagnina added that Mr. Caputo summed up the Conservation Commission's opinion on the activities when he stated that activities should be held at a 'do no harm' standard. Mr. Caputo noted that the draft comments were in line with his opinion.

Ms. Cherichetti inquired whether there was a consensus on the referrals being forwarded to the Zoning Commission. The Commissioners agreed that the memorandums were satisfactory and should be sent.

MINUTES

b) May 27, 2008 minutes

*** MS. CAGNINA MOVED TO ACCEPT THE MINTUES ON MAY 27, 2008.
*** MS. WILSON SECONDED.
*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

c) June 10, 2008 minutes

*** MR. CAPUTO MOVED TO ACCEPT THE MINTUES ON JUNE 10, 2008.
*** MR. HANDRINOS SECONDED.
*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

c) June 24, 2008 minutes

*** MR. CAPUTO MOVED TO ACCEPT THE MINTUES ON JUNE 24, 2008.
*** MS. WILSON SECONDED.
*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

ELECTIONS OF OFFICERS

*** MR. CAPUTO MOVED TO ASSIGN MR. HUBBARD AS CHAIRMAN AND MS. CAGNINA AS CO-CHAIRMAN.
*** MS. WILSON SECONDED.
*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMENTS OF STAFF

a) Minor Permits and Enforcement Actions

No minor or enforcement actions made.

COMMENTS OF COMMISSIONERS

a) Report of Commission Chair

No report of Commission Chair.

ADJOURNMENT

*** MR. CAPUTO MOVED TO ADJOURN.

*** MR. KYDES SECONDED.

*** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

