

NORWALK CONSERVATION COMMISSION MINUTES

JUNE 13, 2006

ATTENDANCE: Ann Cagnina; Beth Ackerman; Susan Llorca; Andrew Kydes; Marny Smith; Matt Caputo (6:15); Ed Holowinko; Karen Destefanis; Seeley Hubbard (6:15).

STAFF: Erin Leonard, Acting Senior Environmental Officer

OTHERS: Valerie Donohue; William Tarala; Judy Slayback, Environmental Land Concerns; Attorney Ron Kellogg; Dean Martin, Grumman Engineering; Annette Potter; Robert Potter; Alexandra Moch

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m.

Ms. Marny Smith arrived at the meeting at 6:07 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Ms. Leonard called the roll.

RECEIPT/DISCUSSION

#S06-254 – 129 Perry Avenue - Trimboli - Construction of a new single-family residence with associated septic system and on-site drainage adjacent to a wetland.

Ms. Leonard described the application. She noted that the stone wall is fifteen feet off the wetland line. Ms. Smith commented that they usually require a ten-to-fifteen foot buffer. Ms. Destefanis asked the applicant if he could provide a planting plan and Mr. Trimboli agreed. Ms. Smith suggested this be done with native plants such as native blueberry and small shrubs, and not be completely arbor vitae. There was some discussion about the types of vegetation that could be planted in the area. Ms. Ackerman asked whether the stone wall would be cemented or loose. The applicant said it would be a loose boulder stone wall.

No action was taken on this item.

Mr. Matt Caputo and Mr. Seely Hubbard arrived at the meeting at 6:15 p.m.

DISCUSSION/DECISION I

#S05-247 – 139 Wolfpit Avenue – Legacy Development LLC - Construction of a new single-family residence adjacent to a wetland.

Mr. Caputo recused himself from the discussion at 6:18 p.m.

Ms. Leonard stated that there were no concerns voiced at the last meeting. Ms. Cagnina asked about the limit of disturbance and Ms. Leonard described it. Ms. Ackerman asked about the bamboo patch and noted that it was sort of taking over the area. The applicant stated he removed some of it and said that it had been planted by a neighbor, some years ago. He noted that the portion that had been removed was growing back again. Ms. Smith commented that bamboo was hard to eradicate.

**** MS. SMITH MOTIONED TO GRANT THE APPLICATION:**

RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S06-247 TO CONSTRUCT A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE ADJACENT TO A WETLAND BE GRANTED TO LEGACY DEVELOPMENT, LLC FOR PROPERTY AT 139 WOLFPIT AVENUE, NORWALK, CT [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 16, TAX LOT 427] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

- 1. A \$3,450.00 PERFORMANCE BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING (\$1,800.00) SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST ONE FULL YEAR, INCLUDING ONE (1) FULL GROWING SEASON, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND.**
- 2. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.**
- 3. STAKED HAY BALES OR SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED ALONG THE OUTER EDGE OF THE PROPOSED DISTURBANCE AREA, AS DEPICTED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED, "SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN", DATED MAY 5, 2006, BY LAND-TECH CONSULTANTS, INC. THE SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MUST BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE RECOMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON SITE.**

4. A DESIGNATED WETLAND & WATERCOURSE BUFFER SHALL BE ESTABLISHED ADJACENT TO THE WETLAND IN THE WESTERN REAR OF THE PROPERTY. THE DESIGNATED BUFFER SHALL EXTEND FROM THE EXISTING AND PROPOSED RETAINING WALL TO THE WETLAND LIMIT LINE. THE DESIGNATED WETLAND & WATERCOURSE BUFFER SHALL BE ENHANCED WITH THE PLANTING PLAN DESCRIBED ON THE SITE PLAN. THE SHRUBS MUST BE A MINIMUM OF THIRTY-SIX INCHES (36") IN HEIGHT AT THE TIME OF PLANTING. THE AREA WITHIN THE DESIGNATED WETLAND BUFFER SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT ALLOWS THIS AREA TO ESTABLISH A NATURAL VEGETATED STATE; THESE AREAS SHALL NOT BE MAINTAINED AS LAWN.

5. THE ABOVE DESCRIBED WETLAND MITIGATION PLAN SHALL BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF RECEIVING A CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, EITHER TEMPORARY OR PERMANENT.

6. THE APPLICANT SHALL CAUSE TO BE PREPARED AN 'AS-BUILT' FOUNDATION SURVEY OF THE PROPERTY. THE 'AS-BUILT' SURVEY SHALL ALSO INCLUDE ALL WETLANDS AND WATERCOURSES, THE 'DESIGNATED WETLAND BUFFER AREA', THE LIMIT LINE OF THE DESIGNATED WETLAND BUFFER AREA NOTED AS THE 'LIMIT OF LAWN', AND A NOTE REFERRING THE READER TO THE CONSERVATION OFFICE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ASSOCIATED WITH CONSERVATION PERMIT #S06-247. THE 'AS-BUILT' PLAN SHALL BE FILED ON THE NORWALK LAND RECORDS BY THE APPLICANT, WITH TWO COPIES OF THE FILED MAP SUBMITTED TO THE CONSERVATION OFFICE.

WHEN THE PROPERTY IS TRANSFERRED FROM THE DEVELOPER TO NEW OWNER, EITHER A REDUCED COPY OF THIS MAP SHALL BE ATTACHED TO THE WARRANTY DEED OR THE WARRANTY DEED SHALL REFER TO THE MAP NUMBER ASSIGNED BY THE TOWN CLERK TO THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED FILED SURVEY MAP.

IN THE EVENT THAT THE PROPERTY IS SOLD PRIOR TO THE 'AS-BUILT' SURVEY BEING AVAILABLE, A REDUCED COPY OF THE APPROVED SITE PLAN, ENTITLED "SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN", DATED MAY 5, 2006, BY LAND-TECH CONSULTANTS, INC., SHALL BE ATTACHED, AS A REDUCED COPY, TO THE WARRANTY DEED.

NO PORTION OF THE PERFORMANCE BOND SHALL BE RELEASED UNTIL THIS CONDITION IS SATISFIED.

7. ANY CHANGE TO THE OVERALL PLAN, AS DEPICTED IN THE SUBMITTED PLANS AND NARRATIVES, INCLUDING THE PLAN ENTITLED "SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN", DATED MAY 6, 2006, BY LAND-TECH CONSULTANTS, INC. WILL REQUIRE A RETURN TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR REVIEW.

** MS. DESTEFANIS SECONDED.

** MOTION PASSED WITH ONE ABSTENTION (MS. LLORCA).

Mr. Caputo returned to the meeting at 6:25 p.m.

#S06-251 – 18 Mola Road – Donahue – Construction of a garage adjacent to wetland and watercourse.

** MS. CAGNINA MOTIONED TO GRANT THE APPLICATION:

RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S06-251 TO CONSTRUCT A NEW TWO CAR GARAGE ADDITION ADJACENT TO A WETLAND BE GRANTED TO ANDREW AND VALERIE DONAHUE FOR PROPERTY AT 18 MOLA ROAD, NORWALK, CT [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 28, TAX LOT 39] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. A \$1,945.00 PERFORMANCE BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING (\$1,490.00) SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST ONE FULL YEAR, INCLUDING ONE (1) FULL GROWING SEASON, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND.
2. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.
3. SILT FENCE OR HAY BALES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATION INDICATED ON THE SITE PLAN ENTITLED "PLOT PLAN OF 18 MOLA ROAD PREPARED FOR ANDREW & VALERIE DONAHUE", DATED REVISED MAY 1, 2006 BY BLACK ROCK SURVEYORS AND WHITE ARCHITECTS. THE SILT FENCING IS TO BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON SITE.
4. ANY ASPHALT REMAINING BEHIND THE NEW GARAGE ADDITION SHALL BE REMOVED AND THE AREA SEEDED AS LAWN.
5. NO CONSTRUCTION-RELATED ACTIVITY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, STOCKPILING, CONSTRUCTION ACCESS, GRADING OR VEGETATION

REMOVAL MAY OCCUR BEYOND THE SILT FENCE, WHICH SHALL BE CONSIDERED THE LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY EXEMPTED BY CONDITIONS OF THIS PERMIT.

6. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN 5 YEARS, ALL PORTIONS OF THE PROPOSED PLAN MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR OF COMMENCEMENT.

7. ANY CHANGE TO THE OVERALL PLAN, AS DEPICTED IN A PLAN ENTITLED "PLOT PLAN OF 18 MOLA ROAD PREPARED FOR ANDREW & VALERIE DONAHUE", DATED MAY 1, 2006 BY BLACK ROCK SURVEYORS AND WHITE ARCHITECTS WILL REQUIRE A RETURN TO THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR REVIEW.

** MS. DESTEFANIS SECONDED.

Ms. Ackerman stated that she was alarmed at how close the building is to the wetland area and expressed concern. Ms. Smith said she shared Ms. Ackerman's concern but the existing shed being used now is even closer, so this plan would be an improvement. Ms. Ackerman suggested the garage plan be amended from a 2-car plan to a 1-car plan. Ms. Smith said a less impervious area would be better, and Ms. Ackerman concurred. Mr. Hubbard asked if the garage could be moved closer to the house. Ms. Valerie Donahue, the applicant, said they were unable to because of a set of outside stairs and because of the way that backing out of the driveway took place. Ms. Cagnina said she saw no problems when this application was presented at the last meeting, and Ms. Leonard said that at that time no concerns were voiced.

** MOTION PASSED WITH TWO ABSTENTIONS (MR. HOLOWINKO, MR. CAPUTO) AND ONE OPPOSED (MS. ACKERMAN).

Mr. Caputo recused himself at 6:33 p.m.

#S06-252 – 18 Huckleberry Drive South – Tarala - Construction of a new single-family residence and drainage pipe extension adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Ms. Leonard read a memo recapping the information on the application.

Ms. Cagnina said she would like to see a grading plan and said she was concerned about whether there would be enough swing room exiting the garage as well as about where the runoff from the driveway would flow. The applicant, Mr. Tarala, explained how the water drained on the property and outlined plans to relocate the watercourse on the property. Discussion followed.

The Commission agreed that it wanted the applicant to explore further alternatives that were more in line with regulations. Mr. Kydes suggested the applicant consult further

with his architect. The Commission felt that any relocation of the watercourse such as shown on the applicant's plans would require a Public Hearing to be scheduled.

Ms. Leonard said the original application would require a Public Hearing. She said the Commission has until July 25th to either commence a public hearing or make a decision, and the applicant can also grant a sixty-five day extension. The applicant had several questions about the process and what type of plants would be needed. Ms. Leonard said she could provide a list of plants. The applicant can pick a plan he already has, or create an alternative plan and continue forward so the Commission can move on to decide if a Public Hearing is necessary.

After further discussion the applicant agreed to meet with his architect to work out an alternative plan for the property that did not include relocating the watercourse. Ms. Leonard stated that any alternative plan should include a grading plan at the request of the Commission.

No action was taken on this item.

Mr. Caputo returned to the meeting at 6:55 p.m.

#S06-253 – 10 Rowayton Court – Zabavsky – Construction of an in-ground pool and deck adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Ms. Leonard stated the owner was not in attendance and has not submitted any new information at this time. The property owner has called the office to let the Commission know that both the property line and the wetlands line have been staked. The Commission can now visit the property. Ms. Leonard said she told the applicant that she would accept revisions or alternative plans until the 19th so they can be included in packets for the next meeting, and stated that no new plans or alternatives have been submitted at this time.

Discussion followed.

No action was taken on this item.

Ms. Cagnina left the meeting at 6:58 p.m.
PUBLIC HEARING (to begin at 7:00 p.m.)

#S06-250 – 12 Willard Road – VJC Development, LLC – Construction of two (2) multi-unit (14) buildings adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Attorney Ron Kellogg submitted the certified mail receipts to Ms. Leonard.

Ms. Leonard called the role.

Ms. Destefanis, as Acting Chairman in Ms. Cagnina's absence, outlined the procedure for the public hearing.

Attorney Kellogg spoke on behalf of the applicant. He stated that the application is a 14-unit condominium in two buildings on property located at 12 Willard Road just north of the site of the former emissions testing building in a Business No. 2 zone. The property will be served by a sanitary sewer and public water. Attorney Kellogg was accompanied by Mr. Dean Martin of Grumman Engineering, whose drainage plans have already been approved by the DPW, which was a requirement of Zoning; Ms. Judy Slayback of Environmental Land Solutions; and Mr. William Andreopolis, project architect, of ADA Architects.

Attorney Kellogg said this is the same application the Commission has seen before under #S05-237 when it was first received in November 2005 and a public hearing date was given for January 2006. For procedural reasons it was postponed to January 24, 2006 at which time the application was withdrawn when staff presented concerns about the existence of a vernal pool. They needed to wait until spring, at which time Ms. Slayback and her staff determined that there was no vernal pool. In addition there is a site plan application before the Zoning Commission which was reviewed on June 8th and determined that a public hearing wasn't necessary because they have met all conditions that Zoning has requested, and because the Conservation Commission will be holding a public hearing.

Ms. Slayback outlined the procedure for determining whether a vernal pool existed or not. After testing she has determined that there is no vernal pool on the property and explained how she arrived at the determination. She said the front of the property has been developed; the wetland area is at the rear of the property. Mr. Caputo asked Ms. Slayback to point out the suspected vernal pool area on a map. Ms. Slayback stated that they examined the area thoroughly and found no eggs or evidence of animals in this wetland area. She said they compared this area with other wetland areas to make the determination.

The Commissioners had questions about the parking situation. Mr. Andreopolis said that most parking would be underneath the buildings. Mr. Caputo said he was concerned about how close this would be to the wetland area, since the wetland area is only approximately ten feet away. He was informed that Zoning would not allow parking in the setback area at the front of the property. Mr. Caputo said these would be townhouse-style condos then.

Mr. Andreopolis said there will be a wall, which was conceived to keep a buffer between the neighbors. Ms. Smith asked what type of wall this would be. Mr. Andreopolis stated it would be a dry stone wall with no cement. Attorney Kellogg said that Zoning has asked them to install a sidewalk and they have agreed.

Questions followed about runoff times, which Ms. Slayback addressed. Mr. Caputo asked Ms. Slayback to tell the Commission what is the impact on the wetland area should the

project be approved. Ms. Slayback said the area will be more improved than it is now, with the removal of all of the invasive species currently growing there, and approximately 140 trees and shrubs to be planted.

Further discussion followed.

Ms. Destefanis asked if there were any members of the public who wished to speak.

Annette Potter of Wolfpit Avenue addressed the Commission with her questions. Ms. Potter was concerned about the construction of the buildings and their impact on the wetlands area, the new plantings, and whether the development of the property would force runoff through her property. She was also concerned that the buildings were too close to her property's swimming pool.

Mr. Andreopolis stated that they are required by Zoning to be 35 feet away from the property line and he assumed that the swimming pool was at least 35 feet away from the neighbors' property line, which would put sixty feet between the pool edge and the building, and hypothetically there should be a 100-foot difference. There is also a 30-foot setback, and he estimated that there should be somewhere between 80 and 130 feet of space between the two buildings. He said he did not believe there were any windows on the pool-side of the building; there was only a stairwell, and the windows run east-west, and would not be looking out over the pool side of the property. From the pool, people should only be able to see the edge of the building.

Ms. Smith commented that it was a nice planting plan with wonderful native shrubs, so Ms. Potter's view should probably be better once the development is completed than it is now.

Robert Potter of Wolfpit Avenue commented as well, but his comments were masked by background noise on the secretary's tape.

Ms. Destefanis invited any other member of the public to speak. There was no one else who wished to speak.

Public comment was closed at 8:05 p.m.

Ms. Ackerman stated that they had done a good job of answering some of the questions she had about the plan for the property. She still had reservations about the proximity and wondered if there were any other alternatives that could be discussed to give a bit larger buffer between the buildings and the wetland.

Ms. Smith said her concern was that because rainfalls are no longer predictable, making the existing wetlands even more valuable than before, and that according to Ms. Slayback there is always the possibility that in future years the ponded area could either increase or decrease, adding all these impervious surfaces such as driveways and courtyards would prevent the wetlands from functioning.

Mr. Caputo stated that he always enjoyed the presentations from Ms. Slayback and the others, and found them to be very clear. He asked Ms. Slayback to state her credentials for the record, and the credentials of the people on whom she relied to obtain information. Ms. Slayback did so.

Ms. Destefanis asked if Attorney Kellogg had anything further to state. He did not.

Mr. Caputo asked if the members had any further concerns and to please state them now while Attorney Kellogg and the others were here to respond. The Commissioners did so.

Ms. Slayback asked if the Commissioners were comfortable with the removal of the chain link fence. There was discussion about whether the fence was totally on the applicant's property or not, and it was determined that it was only partially on the applicant's property. Ms. Llorca commented that before the fence could be removed they need to establish ownership and receive permission to remove it. Mr. Caputo said if the Commission decides to approve the application they could insert a condition regarding the removal of the fence.

Attorney Kellogg said they would have no objection to the condition. He said they also have no objection to stating for the record that this is to be a condominium and no problem with putting into the public offering statement a maintenance agreement. He said the City will be imposing a maintenance agreement also, concerning the discharges and filters, which would be set up through Public Works and will also be a part of the public offering statement.

** MR. CAPUTO MOTIONED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.

** MS. SMITH SECONDED.

** MOTION PASSED WITH ONE ABSTENTION (MS. LLORCA).

The public hearing was closed at 8:20 p.m.

Mr. Holowinko left the meeting at 8:20 p.m.

DISCUSSION/DECISION II

#S06-250 – 12 Willard Road – VJC Development, LLC – Construction of two (2) multi-unit (14) buildings adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

There was no further discussion on this application.

No action was taken on this item.

VIOLATIONS/SHOW CAUSE HEARING

#V06-758 – 8 Brookhill Lane – Slipyy/Zdryko – Acceptance of proposed restoration plan.

Ms. Leonard described the background of the item. She said the applicant wanted to do a restoration plan and was asking for the reduction of bond and amendment of the plan. The current plan was received on June 12th and they need to determine if the plan needs a permit as it is above and beyond what is required.

Ms. Alexandra Markov, soil scientist, displayed before and after photos of the area and stated that Mr. Vasyl Slipyy, the applicant, was in attendance to answer questions if necessary. She presented a description of the area and the activity that has taken place, and the remedial activity that was planned.

Ms. Leonard stated that staff recommends a corrective action plan. Mr. Caputo concurred. Ms. Leonard asked for a formal submission of the plan.

Mr. Caputo asked if it were possible to hold a special meeting in order to decide the matter. He said the Commission can submit corrective action to staff and staff can have an opinion on whether it can be handled by staff. At this point she can just let the Commission know what the decision is, and at the June 27th meeting they can object or comment. Ms. Leonard said she would like feedback from the Commission. Discussion followed.

Ms. Smith left the meeting at 9:00 p.m.

Mr. Caputo said the applicant can provide a corrected application permit with a reduced berm and evidence of the fill brought in, and a planting plan, as soon as possible, and staff can determine whether it is a minor action or whether a hearing is needed.

Further discussion followed.

No action was taken on this item.

#V06-764 – 136 and 140 Ponus Avenue – Walsh & Kahlert – Cease and Restore order for sewer lateral break in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse.

Ms. Leonard recapped the information for the Commission. She said this was a sewer lateral break and there are no easements on either property for crossing sewer laterals. She said #136 ties into #140 and the break is half on each property. Ms. Leonard said the Building Department and the DPW ordered both owners to do title searches to establish the legality of the sewer lines and then will create easements. Staff recommends upholding the Cease and Restore order.

**** MR. CAPUTO MOTIONED TO UPHOLD THE CEASE AND RESTORE ORDER FOR A SEWER LATERAL BREAK IN AND ADJACENT TO A WETLAND AND WATERCOURSE.**

**** MS. LLORCA SECONDED.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

RELEASE OF BOND

#S02-102 – 24 East Rocks Road – Guerra – Corrective action replanting wetland buffer

The Commission agreed to table this item until the next meeting.

No action was taken on this item.

MINUTES

a) May 9, 2006

The minutes of the May 9, 2006 meeting were tabled by general agreement until the next meeting.

No action was taken on this item.

b) May 16, 2006 (regulatory meeting)

The minutes of the May 16, 2006 Regulatory Meeting were tabled by general agreement until the next meeting.

No action was taken on this item.

c) May 16, 2006 (non-regulatory meeting)

Ms. Ackerman said she had submitted her corrections to Ms. Leonard previously. Ms. Llorca concurred.

**** MS. ACKERMAN MOTIONED TO ACCEPT THE MINUTES OF MAY 16, 2006 AS AMENDED.**

**** MS. LLORCA SECONDED.**

**** MOTION PASSED WITH ONE ABSTENTION (MR. KYDES).**

COMMENTS OF STAFF

a) Declaratory Permits and other Enforcement Actions

There were no permits or enforcement actions at this time.

b) Report of Senior Environmental Officer

Ms. Leonard reported that Ms. Cherichetti had given birth to a baby boy named Henry Tate and that both mother and child were doing well.

There was no report at this time.

COMMENTS OF COMMISSIONERS

There were no comments at this time.

ADJOURNMENT

** MS. ACKERMAN MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.

** MR. HUBBARD SECONDED.

** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Linda J. Hayes
Telesco Secretarial Services

