

NORWALK CONSERVATION COMMISSION MINUTES

CITY OF NORWALK

NORWALK CONSERVATION COMMISSION

June 10, 2008

PRESENT: Seeley Hubbard (Chairman); Elizabeth Ackerman; Ed Holowinko; Emily Wilson; Andrew Kydes; Gwen Briggs; Chris Handrinos (6:16)

STAFF: Alexis Cherichetti; Yari Bletsas

OTHERS: • Kathy McGettigan, Giovanni Cerra, Dean Martin, Herald Teran, Darren Winter, Beatrice Winter, Ted Donling,

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Hubbard called the meeting to order at 6:06 pm.

ROLL CALL

Ms. Cherichetti took the roll call.

PERMIT MODIFICATION or EXTENSION

a) #S07-269A – 20 Betmarlea Road – McGettigan – Modification of permit to not be required, as initially proposed, to remove existing shed away from wetland and install buffer plantings.

Kathy McGettigan, the applicant, explained the reason for the needed modification. She explained that while in the process of construction, the applicants were confused on whether or not to relocate the shed as initially permitted. She said that the Zoning Inspector had told her she could either keep the existing shed in its current location, because it was grandfathered, or move it with in the setback areas of her property. She stated that because all work was completed and a lot of money was spent, she would like to ask the Commission to keep the existing shed in its current location.

Mr. Hubbard asked the applicant whether or not the shed had a foundation and its ability to be moved easily. Ms. McGettigan replied that the shed had no foundation and was placed on cement blocks. She also stated that in its current location the shed was an eye sore and that looking at the history of the brook the shed was the had the least impact on the brook.

Ms. Ackerman asked where the wetland line was located in proximity to the shed. Ms. Cherichetti depicted where the wetland line was located and then explained that the shed was less than ten feet from the wetland.

Ms. Ackerman asked the applicant if they had considered calling the Conservation Office after the meeting with the Zoning Inspector to determine if it complied with the conditions of her permit. Ms. McGettigan stated that she probably should have and apologized with all the confusion.

Mr. Hubbard asked where the shed was previously proposed to be moved. Ms. Cherichetti showed the proposed relocation area and alternatively showed where the shed could be moved with in the Zoning setbacks.

Mr. Kydes stated that he believed since the shed was pre-existing then leaving the shed in its current location would not have an impact.

Mr. Hubbard suggested that Ms. McGettigan meet with Conservation staff before a decision was reached to determine possible alternatives as to where to move the shed.

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE A MOTION TO TABLE THE APPLICATION.**

**** MR. HOLOWINKO SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

b) #S07-295A – 21 Knollwood Road – Cerra – Modification of permit to reduce corrective action buffer width and height and extend deadline for implementation.

Ms. Cherichetti explained, Conservation permit #S02-295, which the applicant was applying to modify. She stated that the previous permit was for a corrective action piping of a watercourse and filling of wetlands.

Giovanni Cerra, the property owner and applicant, described the proposed modifications in more detail. He requested a modification to reduce watercourse buffer area from a width of five feet that was initially conditioned to two and a half feet wide. He also requested to reduce or remove the thirty inch requirement for shrub height at time of planting as well as to extend the deadline of the Conservation Permit. He stated that he had an allergy concern with the plants attracting bees and therefore wanted to plant less. He also stated that decreasing the buffer size would allow for him to have more of a backyard.

Ms. Ackerman stated that the five foot buffer was already a small buffer to begin. Mr. Hubbard added that the thirty inch plant height was a standard for the plantings to secure their survival.

Ms. Ackerman asked the applicant what the reason was for not having planted with in the deadline. Mr. Cerra replied that the conditions were to wet to plant with in the basin area.

Ms. Ackerman then stated that reducing the buffer width would not adequately provide protection to the watercourse.

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE A MOTION DENY THE PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS AND GRANT THE EXTENSION OF PERMIT #S07-295.**

**** MR. HOLOWINKO SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED SIX IN FAVOR AND ONE APPOSED (CHRIS HANDRINOS).**

PUBLIC HEARING

a) #S07-309 – 469 Newtown Avenue – Pugliese, Pugliese & Jackson – Construction of a new single family residence, with associated on-site septic system, adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

Mr. Kydes, Ms. Ackerman, and Ms. Briggs recused themselves from the Public Hearing.

Dean Martin of Grumman Engineering described the proposed property and confirmed the receipt of notice to the abutting home owners. He described the easement obtained from the neighboring owners to utilize for the septic system.

Mr. Martin stated that the run-off from the developed area would have an overland flow and sheet off into the proposed planted swale. He described the soil and erosion controls for the site, explaining that the stone wall would be erected prior to any house construction and that a silt fence, hay bales, and a snow fence would be used to prevent any soil erosion flowing into the abutting wetland area. He described that the areas with proposed excavation would be planted with seed mix to stabilize the area. He also explained that there were no formal building plans but the residence will not exceed the proposed footprint depicted on the site plan before the commission.

Mr. Hubbard acknowledged that this was the smallest footprint possible for the site conditions. He also inquired that if there were no specific plans at the moment than how can the Commission be sure that there will not be any additional run off after house is designed.

Mr. Martin assured that the plan is designed for the most possible run off from the construction area regardless of any changes to the architecture of the residence.

Darren Winter, a neighbor to the proposed residence, stated his support for the application.

Beatrice Winter also affirmed her support of the proposal.

Ted Donling was for the proposed application and inquired what a setback area is described as in the City of Norwalk Inland Wetland and Watercourse Regulations.

Ms. Cherichetti explained the area Mr. Donling was referring to as the upland review area and explained what the regulations state.

**** MR. HOLOWINKO MADE A MOTION TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING.**

**** MR. HANDRINOS SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Public Hearing closed at 7:33pm.

Chris Handrinos and Emily Wilson left the meeting at 7:35 pm

RECEIPT & DISCUSSION

a) #S08-312 – Rowayton Woods Drive – Organic Designs, LLC – Pond rehabilitation and drainage improvements in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

**** MR. HUBBARD MADE A MOTION ADOPT THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:**

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED ALL THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND THE FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION OF DECISION, AS DETAILED IN SECTION 60A-8 OF NORWALK'S INLAND WETLAND AND WATERCOURSES REGULATIONS; AND

WHEREAS, THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ARE NOT LIKELY TO RESULT IN ANY PERMANENT NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO THE WATERCOURSE OR WETLANDS; AND

WHEREAS, THE REDIRECTION OF THE DRAINAGE PIPE FROM THE VICINITY OF POSSUM CIRCLE SHOULD RESULT IN STORMWATER QUALITY AND QUANTITY IMPROVEMENTS;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S08-312, FOR POND REHABILITATION AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS, WITH ASSOCIATED REMOVAL OF VEGETATION, GRADING, DISCHARGE AND LANDSCAPING WITHIN AND ADJACENT TO A WETLAND WATERCOURSE, BE GRANTED TO ROWAYTON WOODS CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR PROPERTY AT ROWAYTON WOODS DRIVE, NORWALK, CT, [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 80, TAX LOT 200] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS OF THE POND.
2. A \$15,500.00 BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING (\$14,000.00) SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST TWO (2) FULL GROWING SEASONS, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND
3. ALL PROPOSED GRADING, EXCAVATION AND FILLING ACTIVITIES WITHIN A WETLAND OR WATERCOURSE SHALL BE LIMITED TO OCCUR DURING THE GENERALLY LOW FLOW CONDITIONS BETWEEN JULY 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30 OF ANY GIVEN CALENDAR YEAR.
4. PRIOR TO 'VACUUM' REMOVAL OF ACCUMULATED SEDIMENTS AT THE CATCH BASIN OUTFALLS IN THE POND, THE APPLICANT MUST OBTAIN CONSERVATION STAFF APPROVAL REGARDING THE PHYSICAL LIMITS OF THE AREA TO BE 'VACUUMED'.

5. STAKED HAY BALES AND SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATION NOTED ON PLANS ENTITLED, "GRADING PLAN; HIGHLAND AVENUE", DATED MARCH 26, 2008 BY MCCHORD ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, INC. THE SILT FENCING AND HAY BALES ARE TO BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON SITE. ANY REQUIRED SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL MUST REMAIN PROPERLY INSTALLED UNTIL THE SITE IS DEEMED STABILIZED BY CONSERVATION STAFF.

6. THE PLANTING PLAN, AS DETAILED ON THE PLAN ENTITLED, "PLANTING PLAN, PL-01; ROWAYTON WOODS", DATED MARCH 26, 2009 BY ORGANIC DESIGNS, LLC, SHALL BE FULLY IMPLEMENTED WITHIN TWO (2) MONTHS OF COMPLETION OF THE GRADING ACTIVITIES.

7. WITHIN THREE (3) MONTHS OF THE COMPLETION OF GRADING, THE APPLICANT SHALL CAUSE TO HAVE THE FINAL ELEVATION OF THE PROPOSED STONE SPILLWAYS AND SEDIMENT BASIN BOTTOM CONFIRMED. THE SUBMITTED ELEVATION INFORMATION SHALL TO A PRECISION OF A-2 ACCURACY AND SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CONSERVATION OFFICE FOR COMPLIANCE REVIEW.

8. ONCE WORK ON THE PROJECT COMMENCES, ALL ACTIVITY UNDER THIS PERMIT IS TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN ONE (1) YEAR.

**** MS. BRIGGS SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

b) #S08-314 –Meadowbrook Lane, Fullmar Lane & Appletree Lane –City of Norwalk DPW – Replacement and installation of drainage pipe in and adjacent to a watercourse and wetlands

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:**

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED ALL THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND THE FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION OF DECISION, AS DETAILED IN SECTION 60A-8 OF NORWALK'S INLAND WETLAND AND WATERCOURSES REGULATIONS; AND

WHEREAS, THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ARE NOT LIKELY TO RESULT IN NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO ANY WETLAND OR WATERCOURSE;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S08-314, FOR INSTALLATION OF HEADWALLS AND DRAINAGE PIPE IN AND ADJACENT TO WATERCOURSES AND WETLANDS, BE GRANTED TO THE CITY OF NORWALK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, FOR WORK IN THE VICINITY OF 7, 8 & 9 MEADOWBROOK LANE; 6, 8 & 11 FULLMAR LANE; 9 & 18 APPLETREE LANE, NORWALK, CT, WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. ACTIVITIES WITHIN ANY WETLAND OR WATERCOURSE, INCLUDING CONSTRUCTION OF THE HEADWALLS AND CULVERTS, SHALL BE LIMITED TO OCCUR DURING THE GENERALLY LOW FLOW CONDITIONS BETWEEN JULY 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30 OF ANY GIVEN CALENDAR YEAR.
2. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE STABILIZED WITHIN ONE (1) DAY OF THE COMPLETION OF ACTIVITY.

3. ANY OTHER REGULATED ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE UPLAND REVIEW AREA SHALL REQUIRE CONSERVATION COMMISSION REVIEW PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT.

**** MR. HOLOWINKO SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

c) **#S08-319 – 18 Range Road – Lawrence** – Addition and renovation to residence adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

**** MR. KYDES MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:**

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED ALL THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND THE FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION OF DECISION, AS DETAILED IN SECTION 60A-8 OF NORWALK'S INLAND WETLAND AND WATERCOURSES REGULATIONS; AND

WHEREAS, THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ARE NOT LIKELY TO RESULT IN NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO ANY WETLAND OR WATERCOURSE;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S08-319, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A REAR ADDITION AND ASSOCIATED GRADING AND LANDSCAPING ADJACENT TO WATERCOURSES AND WETLANDS, BE GRANTED TO LESLIE AND ROBERT LAWRENCE, FOR WORK AT 18 RANGE ROAD, NORWALK, CT, [6-32B-10] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS.

2. A \$2,400.00 BOND IS TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY. THE PORTION OF THE BOND HELD FOR PLANTING SHALL BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE FOLLOWING AT LEAST TWO (2) FULL GROWING SEASONS, AFTER THE SEASON OF INITIAL PLANTING. A GROWING SEASON EXTENDS FROM MAY 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15 OF ANY GIVEN YEAR. OTHER PORTIONS OF THE BOND ARE ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE AS THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED. ALL SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF THE PERMIT MUST BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO RELEASE OF THE BOND.

3. SILT FENCE OR HAY BALES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN THE LOCATION INDICATED ON THE SUBMITTED SITE PLAN – APPROXIMATELY PARALLEL TO AND TEN (10) FEET EAST OF THE REAR STONE WALL. THE SEDIMENTATION & EROSION CONTROL IS TO BE PROPERLY INSTALLED, AND THEN CHECKED BY THE CONSERVATION STAFF, PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK ON SITE. THE CONTROL MUST REMAIN PROPERLY INSTALLED UNTIL THE SITE IS DEEMED STABILIZED BY CONSERVATION STAFF.

4. NO CONSTRUCTION-RELATED ACTIVITY, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, STOCKPILING, CONSTRUCTION ACCESS, GRADING OR VEGETATION REMOVAL MAY OCCUR BEYOND THE SILT FENCE, WHICH SHALL BE CONSIDERED THE LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE UNLESS SPECIFICALLY EXEMPTED BY CONDITIONS OF THIS PERMIT.

5. THE AREA EAST OF THE EXISTING SPLIT RAIL FENCE SHALL REMAIN IN ITS NATURAL STATE AND PROVIDE A BUFFER AREA FOR THE ADJACENT WETLAND AND WATERCOURSE. ADDITIONALLY, THIS BUFFER SHALL BE ENHANCED WITH THE PLANTING OF A MIXTURE

OF FIFTY-FIVE (55) SHRUBS AND OTHER FERNS OR EMERGENT PLANTS, AS INDICATED ON THE SUBMITTED LANDSCAPING PLAN. ALL PLANTS MUST BE NATIVE TO CONNECTICUT. THE AREA WITHIN THE WETLAND/WATERCOURSE BUFFER SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT ALLOWS THIS AREA TO ESTABLISH A NATURAL VEGETATED STATE; THESE AREAS SHALL NOT BE MAINTAINED AS LAWN.

6. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN FIVE (5) YEARS, ALL REGULATED ACTIVITY MUST BE COMPLETED WITHIN TWELVE (12) MONTHS OF THE COMMENCEMENT OF SITE ACTIVITY.

**** MS. BRIGGS SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

d) #S08-320 – 7 Rising Road – Krupa – Corrective Action deposition of material and installation of on-site septic system in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE A MOTION TO TABLE THE APPLICATION.**

**** MS. BRIGGS SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

e) #S08-322 – Ledgebrook Condominium Association, Inc. – Removal of vegetation by mechanical hydro-raking in and adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:**

WHEREAS, THE CONSERVATION COMMISSION HAS CAREFULLY CONSIDERED ALL THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED AND THE FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION OF DECISION, AS DETAILED IN SECTION 60A-8 OF NORWALK'S INLAND WETLAND AND WATERCOURSES REGULATIONS; AND

WHEREAS, THE PROPOSED ACTIVITIES ARE NOT LIKELY TO RESULT IN ANY PERMANENT NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO THE WATERCOURSE;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

THAT CONSERVATION APPLICATION #S08-322, FOR REMOVAL OF VEGETATION WITHIN A WATERCOURSE, BE GRANTED TO LEDGEBROOK CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR PROPERTY AT 102½ GILLIES LANE, NORWALK, CT, [DISTRICT 5, BLOCK 64, TAX LOT 200] WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE PERMIT RELATED ACTIVITY, THE APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE PHOTO DOCUMENTATION OF THE SITE AND CROSS-REFERENCE THE PHOTOGRAPHS ON A SITE PLAN. PHOTOGRAPHS SHOULD REPRESENT WIDE-ANGLE VIEWS OF THE POND.
2. ALL PROPOSED ACTIVITIES SHALL BE LIMITED TO OCCUR DURING THE GENERALLY LOW FLOW CONDITIONS BETWEEN JULY 1 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30 OF ANY GIVEN CALENDAR YEAR.
3. PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF IN-POND WORK, BOTH TURBIDITY CURTAINS SHALL BE CONCURRENTLY INSTALLED IN THE TWO LOCATIONS INDICATED ON THE PLANS ENTITLED, "2008 HYDRO-RAKING PERMIT, LEDGEBROOK CONDOMINIUM POND AND OUTFLOW CHANNEL (KEELER'S BROOK)", DATED 4/28/08, BY AQUATIC CONTROL TECHNOLOGY, INC..

4. THE PROPOSED DEWATERING AREAS, AS INDICATED ON THE SUBMITTED PLANS, SHALL BE SECURED WITH SILT FENCE AND STAKED HAY BALES IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING COMPLETION OF EACH DAY OF DEPOSITION.
5. THE MECHANICAL RAKING OF THE POND MAY OCCUR NO MORE THAN ONCE WITHIN A TWO YEAR PERIOD. THOUGH THIS PERMIT EXPIRES IN FIVE (5) YEARS, THE ACTIVITIES ASSOCIATED WITH EACH MECHANICAL RAKING SHALL BE LIMITED TO A THREE (3) WEEK PERIOD FROM THE COMMENCEMENT OF EACH MECHANICAL RAKING EVENT. THE CONSERVATION OFFICE SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF EACH MECHANICAL RAKING TREATMENT AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY ON-SITE ACTIVITY.

**** MR. KYDES SECONDED THE MOTION.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

f) #S07-309 – 469 Newtown Avenue – Pugliese, Pugliese & Jackson – Construction of a new single family residence, with associated on-site septic system, adjacent to a wetland and watercourse

Discussion would continue at the following meeting.

SHOW CAUSE HEARING

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE A MOTION TO AMEND THE AGENDA TO INCLUDE A SHOW CAUSE HEARING.**

**** MR. KYDES SECONDED.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

a) #V08- 809 – 139 Wolfpit Avenue – Legacy Development, LLC – Notice of Violation for the failure to maintain required sedimentation & erosion measures in and adjacent to a wetland.

Ms. Bletsas began the hearing by explaining the reasons for a Cease and Desist order being issued. She explained that while inspecting the site it was evident that at the rear of the property a large quantity of soil was eroding into the wetland. It was also noted during the site inspection that the applicant was not in compliance with the soil and erosion control methods required in the conditions of their original permit #S06-247. She stated that a Violation Warning Notice was sent to the applicant to meet the conditions of the permit. A Cease and Desist order was issued after the applicant failed to comply with the Violation Warning Notice.

Herald Teran, of Legacy Development, LLC, stated that he was out of town for some time and did not receive the Violation Warning. He explained since receiving the Cease and Desist Order he has re-erected the silt fence and has placed additional hay bales in the area with the most erosion.

Mr. Hubbard suggested that the Conservation staff re-inspect the site and confirm Mr. Teran's statement. He also stated that if the soil and erosion controls were not sufficient then Mr. Teran work with staff to mitigate the problem. He suggested that the hearing be continued to allow staff to confirm compliance and report back to the commission.

MINUTES

COMMENTS OF STAFF

a) Minor Permits and Enforcement Actions

Ms. Cherichetti explained that a Notice of Violation was sent out to 16 Scott Street for working adjacent to a wetland with out a permit. She also stated that she was currently speaking to the CT Department of Environmental Protection about 595 Westport Avenue permit # S05-211. She explained that the applicants were having some mitigation issues from contamination on the site and she is seeking the CT DEP's suggestion on the problem.

COMMENTS OF COMMISSIONERS

a) Report of Commission Chair

Mr. Hubbard opened stated that the house on 64 Sammis Street had been taken down on Monday and is pleased with the site's progress as an Open Space area. He also inquired rumored sale of the Dolce Property and if any permits were being obtained for the site. He suggested that the commission look into the easement on the property and stated that the property would be a great area for the City of Norwalk as Open Space..

ADJOURNMENT

**** MS. ACKERMAN MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.**

**** MS. BRIGGS SECONDED.**

**** MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

Meeting adjourned at 8:15pm.